Liability Insurance Requirements

- ✓\$25,000 for injury/death to one person
- ✓\$50,000 for injury/death to two or more persons
- ✓\$10,000 for property damage

Unchanged since implemented in 1979

6

Liability Insurance Premiums Vary Significantly

- ✓ Driver characteristics
- ✓ Residence urban and rural
 - More than \$300 for large communities
- ✓ Insurer
 - More than \$2,000 difference among insurers

Uninsured Motor Vehicles Are A Problem

More Than 11% of Accidents Involved at Least One Uninsured Vehicle

8

Estimated Non-Compliance Rates

Insurance Industry Estimate 9% Non-Compliance

(based on injury claims data)

Estimated Non-Compliance Rates Cont'd

Department Data Indicates Increasing Non-Compliance

- ➤ 15% of convictions are for violating the law.
- ➤ 17% increase in convictions

10

Estimated Non-Compliance Rates Cont'd – Other States

- ➤ 14% non-compliance nationwide
- ➤ 6% to +20% non-compliance in other states

П



Conclusion

Between 9 and 15 percent of registered vehicles do not have liability insurance

74,000 - 115,000 Vehicles

2



Three Types of Controls

(日本の大学を表現の大学)

- ✓ Detective Identifying non-compliance
- ✓ Prevention Deterring non-compliance
- ✓ Corrective Preventing repeated noncompliance



Detecting Non-Compliance

如果你是我的**我是我们**

CONCLUSION

Montana has ineffective detection controls

- ✓ Rely on law enforcement to detect noncompliance
 - √ (5% chance of being caught)
- ✓ Insurance cards have limited value

14



Preventive Controls (Deterrents)

#17:75747590E20127947

CONCLUSION

Penalties are ineffective deterrents

- ✓ Fines may be less costly than insurance
- ✓ Jail not a likely option



Corrective Controls

CONCLUSION

Registration and driver license suspensions are not effective at preventing continued non-compliance

16



Corrective Controls

(cont'd)

- ✓ Suspensions don't affect some drivers
- ✓ Suspensions may unfairly penalize some drivers
- ✓ Driver license suspensions can't always be imposed
- ✓ Penalties for subsequent offenses may be less than for 1st offense
- ✓ Some drivers may keep license plates



Alternatives for Improving Detection

- ✓ Sampling programs
- ✓ Reporting systems
- ✓ Verification systems

18



Sampling Programs

- ✓ Probably less costly
- ✓ Only detect non-compliance in sample
- ✓ Detection risk may remain low
- ✓ Requires all persons in sample to demonstrate compliance
- ✓ Persons can still cancel insurance



Reporting Systems

- **公司的基本的基本的**
- ✓ Widely used by almost one-half the states
- ✓ Requires insurers to regularly provide policy data
- ✓ Data is quickly outdated
- ✓ More costly than sampling systems

20



Verification Systems

- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
- ✓ Provides real-time verification of vehicle insurance status
- ✓ Requires only data necessary to verify insurance status
- ✓ New system

Improving Preventive Controls (Deterrence)

A CONTRACTOR

- ✓ Increased fines
- ✓ Increase administrative fees
 - Registration reinstatement
 - ✓ Driver license reinstatement
- Increased penalties provides only marginal improvements
 - Detection risk remains low

22

Improving Corrective Controls (Preventing repeat offenses)

- ✓ Expand use of SR22 insurance
 - Insurers required to notify state if SR22 policy is canceled.
 - SR22 liability insurance tied to an individual – not a vehicle

Effectiveness of Alternative Strategies

Improved Detection Appears to be Most Effective Strategy

24

Impact on Insurance Rates

- ✓ Impact on insurance rates unknown
 - No immediate impact insurance based on long term claims history
- ✓ Other factors impact insurance rates
 - Highway safety
 - √ Vehicle safety



Overall Conclusion

44、"明"的"不是,

- ✓ Montana Can Improve Compliance
- ✓ Potential reduction in non-compliance and estimated cost benefits cannot be readily determined

26



Overall Conclusion (cont'd)

Legislators must balance costs and public benefits of improved compliance with the law